

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,314	10/17/2003	Martin S. Tucker	650010.14761	8876
75	90 06/29/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael D. Harris			LACYK, JOHN P	
	& LERNER LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1080			ARTONI	TALER NOMBER
2049 Century Park East			3736	
Los Angeles, C			TT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,314	TUCKER, MARTIN S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John P Lacyk	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·—·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,8,10,13 and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
• =	7) Claim(s) <u>2,4-7,9,11,12 and 14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/688,314

Art Unit: 3736

- 1. Claim 8 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 8 appears to be a dependent claim but fails to define what claim it is dependent on.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 further recites a frame in the housing that pivots relative to the housing, however it appears that (similar to claim 2) the frame should be connected to the motor and linkage to clearly show that they are connected to the frame and pivoted because of the frame pivoting or claim 3 should depend from claim 2.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,314

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 1, 8, 10, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 5. unpatentable over Nickell in view of Padgett.

Nickell discloses a stimulation device having a housing, a projecting member that is pivotable in the housing and a slot through the housing which the projecting member extends at least partially through. Nickell discloses the claimed device except for the use of a stimulating device that provides reciprocal motion of the projecting member. Padgett discloses a stimulation device and teaches that it is well known to provide a stimulating member that uses a reciprocating motion to stimulate the user. Therefore a modification of Nickell such that the projecting member provides a reciprocating motion would have been obvious since Padgett teaches that it is well known and conventionally employed to provide such a motion on stimulating devices. Also Padgett teaches that it is known to provide a connection (14, 21) to receive "an auxiliary device for affecting the projecting member" such as allowing the projecting member to vibrate.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 6. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 7. Barbour.

Barbour discloses a device having a housing having a slot(24), a motor(9), a linkage and projecting member (23 and 26) that extend through the slot. The motor provides a Application/Control Number: 10/688,314

Art Unit: 3736

reciprocating motion to the projecting member and a handle (27) which allows for the linkage and projecting member to pivot in the housing to change the orientation of the projecting member.

- 8. Claims 2, 4-7, 9, 11-12, 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claim 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 9. U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 10. applicant's disclosure. Segal, Harvey, Garza and Boutos are cited to further show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P Lacyk whose telephone number is 703-308-2995.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,314

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lacyk

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736

J.P. Lacyk